

**ST. GEORGE CITY COUNCIL MINUTES
REGULAR MEETING
OCTOBER 30, 2014, 4:00 P.M.
CITY COUNCIL CHAMBERS**

PRESENT:

**Mayor Jon Pike
Councilmember Gil Almquist
Councilmember Jimmie Hughes
Councilmember Michele Randall
Councilmember Joe Bowcutt
Councilmember Bette Arial
City Manager Gary Esplin
City Attorney Shawn Guzman
City Recorder Christina Fernandez**

OPENING:

Mayor Pike called the meeting to order and welcomed all in attendance. The Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag was led by Councilmember Almquist, and the invocation was offered by Dean Matthews with the Boulder Ridge Stake.

ORDINANCE:

**Consider approval of an ordinance amending Title 3, Chapter 2, Article F:
Ambulances, setting standards for ambulance services in the City.**

City Manager Gary Esplin stated that staff has been trying to come up with an ordinance setting standards for ambulance services. There have been many drafts and discussions on the proposed ordinance.

City Attorney Shawn Guzman advised that each Councilmember as well as ambulance representatives should have the latest draft. There have been various City departments involved in preparing the draft. He read the draft ordinance and presented a PowerPoint presentation covering the following topics: Definitions; Applicability; Operational Requirements; Personnel; Facilities; Ambulances; Response Time Performance; Customer Service Performance; Annual Report; Dispatch Communications; and Enforcement, Appeals and Penalties.

Councilmember Bowcutt inquired with the number of ambulances required on page 4. He asked if the draft ordinance is in agreement with the State of Utah standards. Additionally, he asked how the State determines how many ambulances there should be.

City Attorney Shawn Guzman advised that the State looks at the application of the service provider. When they submit their application, the provider proposes the service level they will provide.

Councilmember Randall stated that Utah Code 26-8a-408(7) states: The role of local governments in the licensing of ground ambulance and paramedic providers that serve areas also served by the local governments is important. The Legislature strongly encourages local governments to establish cost, quality, and access goals for the ground ambulance and paramedic services that serve their areas."

Councilmember Almquist inquired about Applicability on page 3. He stated that he would like this section to read "No Provider shall operate or be operated in the city without first obtaining a City license" .

City Attorney Shawn Guzman advised that the language could be changed; however this section refers to City code so it may not be necessary.

Councilmember Almquist inquired about section 3-2F-4: Personnel with regard to the last sentence of paragraph A., which reads "A current copy of the Ambulance Services Provider's medical services policies and procedures ..."

City Attorney Shawn Guzman advised he will mirror the language from the sentence above.

Councilmember Almquist asked City Attorney Shawn Guzman to clarify if section 3-2F-7(B)(2) if "dispatch" means City dispatch.

City Attorney Shawn Guzman replied, yes, "Dispatch" is defined as The St. George Communications Center.

Councilmember Almquist inquired, with regard to section 3-2F-7(C)(4), if an ambulance from another jurisdiction could respond.

City Attorney Shawn Guzman advised this should not happen as this provider has the ambulance service for the City. Dispatch will go to the City's provider first and dispatch the ambulance to the area. The service provider has the license to service the City.

Councilmember Almquist inquired if staff passes complaints on to the provider that come into the City.

City Attorney Shawn Guzman answered, yes staff forwards complaints received to the provider. Language can be added in the ordinance to cover complaints.

Councilmember Almquist inquired about the annual report. He asked if the provider is required to report out of area calls in which they respond to.

City Attorney Shawn Guzman advised that this is addressed under mutual aid covered in section 3-2F-9. The provider will be required to include that information in their annual report.

Councilmember Almquist inquired about section 3-2F-10(B). He asked if the provider leaves the area to transport to another City, would they still use the same channel. Additionally, he asked if an ordinance is better than an agreement with regards to Dispatch.

City Attorney Shawn Guzman replied, no, they would inform Dispatch that they are in transport. Because the provider may need to communicate with their own dispatch center, they will have another channel. If one of the four ambulances is on transport, the provider will have to get another one to replace it. The provider is required to have an agreement with Dispatch by State rules. Whether done by ordinance or agreement, one will not hold the provider legally more accountable than the other, if the agreement is well written.

Councilmember Hughes asked for clarification on section 3-2F-3(B), with regard to number of ambulances and that they be staffed with paramedics. Each of the four ambulances are staffed with two paramedics. He asked what the difference in section 3-2F-4(B) which talks about staffing of ambulances.

Fire Chief Robert Stoker advised that they tried to cover any ambulance that may be in service. The first two mirror state rules, the third one is more restrictive than the State.

Councilmember Hughes inquired about section 3-2F-7(D)(1)(b). He asked where will the line be drawn if the provider states that they are late because they were assisting another agency.

City Attorney Shawn Guzman advised the provider's first goal will be to service all calls in the City.

Councilmember Hughes inquired about reporting response times. He asked why the provider cannot use GPS to record response times.

City Attorney Shawn Guzman advised a locator does not show the exact address.

Deputy Police Chief Rich Farnsworth stated that a GPS system does not time stamp in the record. The dispatcher or the ambulance will record the response time. Additionally, the current provider's equipment is different from what Dispatch uses. Also, it functions differently. There are solutions, in fact, staff has been working with the provider to sort out these issues.

Councilmember Almquist inquired how the City is held harmless by an ambulance service provider if the provider is involved with an accident while in route.

City Attorney Shawn Guzman stated that anyone can sue. In this case the provider is a private entity and they have their own insurance. Unless the City is negligent, it would not be involved.

Councilmember Randall commented that this ordinance was written approximately a year and a half ago. She noted that she had no part in writing the ordinance as it was well underway when she was elected.

City Attorney Shawn Guzman advised that the provider will be required to notify Dispatch who the supervisor and/or management personnel is at all times. That person must reside in Washington County.

Mayor Pike explained that the City has an inter-facility services provider owned by IHC as well as an ambulance services provider. He invited Gary Stone, Operations Officer at Dixie Regional Medical Center and Mike Moffitt and Steve Urquhart, representing Gold Cross, to speak.

Gary Stone, with Dixie Regional Medical Center, stated that he appreciates the City for hearing their commentary. It is important to note that they are supportive of the ordinance. He cares about healthcare in the community. One area that could put the City at risk is requiring a minimum number of ambulances at a concrete number. In a cost environment, having four ambulances is a potential disparate issue in terms of deficiency. He hopes to see the ordinance allow for flexibility. The Journal of Emergency Medical Services addresses this issue, it warns against having a concrete number of ambulances. The best practice is to utilize management science and queueing theory techniques, which is based upon metrics. With regard to section 3-2F-6(A), per the definition, Provider means "An Ambulance Services Provider and an Inter-facility Ambulance Services Provider collectively". The section states that "All in-service Ambulances shall be equipped with the safety and emergency equipment required for Ambulances by the Utah Department of Health, Bureau of Emergency Medical Services. The city may conduct unannounced ambulance inspections at any time. The city may remove an Ambulance from service for noncompliance with city or state requirements." He wonders if there is a way for an

ambulance provider or a provider to have a period of response as this section does not give them the ability to respond.

City Attorney Shawn Guzman advised can that can be added to the ordinance. He asked Mr. Stone if he is also addressing unannounced ambulance inspections.

Mr. Stone explained that with any of their current inspections, an unannounced survey is still structured. There is a process at the hospital; however, there is not one in the ordinance. He does not understand who will be performing the inspections. Additionally, he asked what standards the inspector will be surveying against and are the standards based upon evidence and published best practices.

City Attorney Shawn Guzman clarified that the equipment the inspector will be looking for is equipment required for ambulances by the Utah Department of Health. The Fire Department will be conducting the inspections; he will add that to the ordinance. He explained that one concern was how to ensure the ambulance has the proper equipment, but that it not be moved from bus to bus. He asked if a time frame would be fair.

Mr. Stone introduced Rochelle Rhodes.

Ms. Rhodes explained that with any state inspection, they receive a phone call and are given a time frame. It would be helpful to have that process with the City as well.

City Attorney Shawn Guzman advised that he would like to visit with Mr. Stone and Ms. Rhodes in addition to Gold Cross representatives to discuss this further.

Mr. Stone, inquired about section 3-2F-6(C) he asked what is meant by "adequate size".

Ms. Rhodes stated that they just want to make sure they are doing things correctly.

City Attorney Shawn Guzman explained that what this is referring to is a maintenance program. Since the ambulance will be licensed with the Department of Health, he can strike language, leaving in "Each Provider shall have a written preventative mechanical maintenance program for Ambulances so as to ensure compliance with Utah Department of Health, Bureau of Emergency Services regulations. Each Provider shall ensure that all Ambulances subject to call or service are mechanically sound and safe to operate at all times".

Mayor Pike commented that if the State requires something, he suggests not putting it in the ordinance.

Mr. Stone inquired about annual safety inspections as outlined in section 3-2F-6(D). He asked how they are different from unannounced inspections and is this different from the annual State survey. Additionally, he asked if the City may do an annual survey or will they.

City Attorney Shawn Guzman stated that it states "may" because safety inspections may not be done annually. This inspection would be a mechanical survey by the City's Fleet Division. He will clarify that in the ordinance.

Councilmember Bowcutt inquired if the providers are getting a State inspection does the City need to inspect the ambulances as well.

City Attorney Shawn Guzman advised the reason this is in the ordinance is because of the final order that was issued at the hearings when the license was issued to the current carrier. The mechanical maintenance program was identified in the final order. If the Council feels that the maintenance program along with maintaining any records for the maintenance program is adequate, then it can be done that way. This section can be taken out as well, adding language to subsection C regarding concerns or complaints.

Councilmember Hughes stated that four ambulances will not affect inter-facility transports. The license is granted by the State; however by statute, the City gets involved. The current provider provided a plan of operations, in which they stated they would have four ambulances staffed with two paramedics. This plan was a big basis for getting the license. He asked Mr. Stone how the City would see that it is not reprimanded by the State if the minimum standards as provided by the current provider are not met.

Mr. Stone stated that if there is an issue with what was presented, the City could deal with that through another means. He worries about the minimum being built into an ordinance because the provider can change. The ambulance service provider should be held accountable through the annual reports.

Councilmember Almquist commented that if the State says there is a need for four ambulances, there would have been a reason for them to say that.

Steve Urquhart, representative for Gold Cross thanked staff members who worked on the ordinance. He stated it is good to have an ordinance, pages 6, 7 and 8 are solid gold. Additionally, the annual report on page 9 is great. It is good to set goals and make sure that they are accountable in meeting those goals. He stated the City is getting too deep into their private business. They are licensed and mandated by the State. Every item and concern in the ordinance is valid. All involved are focused on the same thing - excellent emergency medical services for the citizens. He believes they can go where ever they want by agreement. With regard to dispatch, the City cannot mandate that they use the St. George Dispatch Center. The City is putting a lot of requirements on private businesses, the State already does that. There is nothing in the ordinance outlining the obligations that the Dispatch Center has to the private provider or their customers. He presented a PowerPoint presentation covering the following topics: 26-8a-401(1) State regulation of emergency medical market; 26-8a-408(7); Vehicles; Employees; Overall Combined Compliance; Hot Responses (Lights & Siren); Cold Responses (Normal Traffic); 26-8a-102; and Staging. He stated that the City is being served by at least four ambulances as needed by the volume. It is very important to manage costs. Portions of the ordinance goes beyond the legal authority such as proportioning to license ambulance providers and it attempts to specify a certain number of ambulances and which ones count. The State fully handles both of these, the City does not have staff with that level of expertise. They have always run with a minimum of four ambulances, most of the time there have been five. If an ambulance is at a ball game it can either be dedicated or it can leave if a call comes in. There could be a time during summer evenings, to drop down to three ambulances; however, they have never done that. Additionally, the ordinance violates state law by attempting to force Gold Cross to use the St. George Dispatch Center. Gold Cross is a private business. They do not want it to be forced; therefore, an agreement makes sense. Also, it is important to know that BEMS rules are exceptionally clear in saying that providers must have a current dispatch agreement with a designated emergency dispatch center, it is not legal to do it by government mandate. Further, the ordinance attempts to determine who can and cannot be the medical director for Gold Cross, why? This is fully covered by the State. The City does not have expertise in that nor does it have statutory authority to do that. The City's concerns are valid; however,

how they get there is by agreement. The ordinance orders Gold Cross to turn over propriety information on company policies and procedures. That information gives Gold Cross the edge over its competitors. It is not legal to require that type of information. Additionally, the ordinance tells Gold Cross how to handle customer complaints. Gold Cross is extremely proud of the service it provides in the City. They want to work with the City to set lofty, cost quality and access goals. The ordinance needs redrafting to address the points as many of these items can be reached by agreement. They cannot require them to use the St. George Dispatch Center; however, it would be a good thing for Dispatch for them to handle both 911 calls and non-911 calls. They do have several individuals measuring performance one of which is DRMC. Their average time reporting to a scene is seven minutes.

Mike Moffitt, with Gold Cross Ambulance, stated that every community should establish goals and every ambulance provider should meet those goals. Conversations regarding these issues have been happening for quite some time. Gold Cross is not opposed to ordinances and agreements. The goals that are being met today were self applied. An arbitrary number of ambulances takes away his ability as the provider, in a cost effective manner, to become flexible. They always meet the response time requirements. He suggests changing section 3-32-3(B) which covers number of ambulances, to take out "but in no case shall there be less than four (4) paramedic Ambulances". That puts the burden on him to see that he has the sufficient number of ambulances. He would like to add language that the ambulance services provider, before making any significant or permanent changes to the numbers of ambulances staffed, meet with City representatives to discuss whether the increase or decrease in the number of ambulances is appropriate. They analyze their staffing every patterns on a 20-week cycle. Additionally, their staff goes over response time exceptions daily. He proceeded with the PowerPoint presentation covering the following topics: RV Accident; Ironman Competition (May 2014); Standby Events Include; Hazmat Drill; Near-drowning; and 12 Lead ECG transmission. He commented that although it is hard to get ambulances in one place at one time, he is not opposed to the City inspecting the ambulances for necessary equipment as everything that is needed is in every ambulance. For some reason if there was a communication error, GPS systems are time stamped and they are accurate within 10 feet. He suggests to use the GPS as a back up when calculating response times, not to discount it completely. They are working with staff on compatibility issues with the GPS systems.

Mayor Pike called for a ten minute recess. The meeting then reconvened.

Councilmember Bowcutt commented that it is amazing to him that it was stated that the need for ambulances is less in the evening. His main concern is that if Mr. Urquhart disagrees with many portions of the ordinance, how is there ever going to be an agreement.

Councilmember Hughes reiterated what Councilmember Bowcutt said. All parties involved want the same thing, quality ambulance care. What he heard from both Mr. Moffitt and Mr. Urquhart, is that the City should have an ordinance, but shouldn't or can't because it is against the law. He asked if an ordinance is done, what do they think should be in it.

Mr. Moffitt stated that there should be references to State law, staffing levels, response times and responsibilities of meeting the response times. The ordinance should not tell him how to meet the response times. When he wrote the document submitted in the application process, almost four years ago, the number of ambulances he proposed was four. Since then, the demand for ambulances has gone done because of growth.

Councilmember Hughes mentioned that the document provided during the application process says that for 24 hours a day, they will use a baseline of four ambulances to provide the City with a superior service. Additionally, the document says through having more ambulances, staffed with two paramedics, they will cut response times, have better care and solve all of the problems with the prior ambulance provider. He asked how he, as a responsible representative of the citizens, say that he can ignore what the fact that the State issued the license with the baseline of four ambulances.

Mr. Moffitt, Gold Cross does more than that. The number of ambulances he put in the document was based on surrounding areas.

Councilmember Randall commented that they only applied for St. George.

Mr. Moffitt explained that the analysis showed 3.65 ambulances were needed to meet response times in the entire area. They are responsible to provide service to Washington City and Ivins as well as further out areas. The response area changes from month to month and year to year. If there is a way to maximize the resources by shaving some off on slow days. They can now give an honest and accurate analysis of busy times. There may be factors that change, but they would not be allowed to change the number of ambulances.

Councilmember Hughes commented they may just disagree on this point. He is not disagreeing with Mr. Urquhart that the City is getting involved private business. He believes this is one area that the public expects the City Council to get involved since this is a public safety issue. He believes that Fire Department staff could fill the role of experts to determine if minimum requirements are being met.

Councilmember Randall stated she is not willing to compromise with using the portion of ordinance that requires the provider use St. George Dispatch exclusively. As of yesterday, Gold Cross has not been on 879 private calls since they have been in St. George. Those are private calls that the St. George Dispatch is unaware of the location of the ambulances. To her, that is unacceptable. She mentioned that on Tuesday, Gold Cross was on a private 911 call. The Salt Lake dispatch center dispatched an ambulance to a care center, who in turn called the St. George Dispatch Center. Dispatch staff did not know where that ambulance was; the City cannot have that. Four ambulances were promised during the application process. If they have five staffed everyday, there should be no problem with four. Dispatch staff have been trying to resolve the GPS issue for 18 months.

Councilmember Almquist explained that he owns a private business himself, he understands that added steps are less efficient. He does not understand why they cannot use one dispatch center. Because he does not fully understand how their dispatch works with the City's, he feels that is an added step.

Mr. Moffitt stated that he has been working with them for several months to try to come up with a logical protocol. Regardless of what they were responding to a private call or a 911 call, they would inform St. George Dispatch of their location. A lot of their private calls require much more customer service. They have already agreed to let St. George Dispatch handle the 911 calls. He explained that they are working out the GPS issues.

Councilmember Almquist inquired if the compliance data is from the St. George Dispatch Center or their own.

Mr. Moffitt replied that the data came from St. George Dispatch. They have started to work on a protocol that they will notify St. George Dispatch of every call they go on. Sometimes people do not want to call 911, they would rather call their dispatchers who are trained and certified to the same level as dispatchers throughout the state. Their dispatchers can dispatch the appropriate ambulances, whereas, the St. George Dispatch Center is not applying their resources correctly. He is not against notifying the St. George Dispatch Center when they are on these responses.

Deputy Chief Rich Farnsworth stated that this is an interesting point. This is not something St. George Dispatch has not done. If St. George dispatchers are qualified to handle a life emergency, how are they not able to handle a transport. They are highly trained individuals with the top equipment available to them. When an ambulance is on private call, the St. George Dispatch Center does not know when they will get the resource back. The incident that Councilmember Randall was referring to is a perfect example. The citizens do not know who they are calling when they are calling that seven digit number. There have been times that several ambulances were on private calls. The GPS systems not being compatible is an issue which they have been working on for 18 months. The system used by Gold Cross does not function with system used by the St. George Dispatch Center. DRMC inter-facility transfers do not go through the St. George Dispatch Center because there is qualified medical care with them at all times. He is not saying their dispatchers are not competent to take calls, there are simply issues with using two dispatch centers.

Councilmember Randall explained that Gold Cross may have an ambulance at a football game. If someone at the game calls 911, the St. George Dispatch Center would dispatch an additional ambulance not realizing there is one already on scene.

Deputy Chief Farnsworth stated that this is an issue that has to be solved. He agrees that the St. George Dispatch Center should be responsible to Gold Cross as well. They intend and want to be an open book. He personally believes the St. George Dispatch Center can handle all of the calls.

Councilmember Almquist commented that they are looking at the long range safety for St. George and its citizens. The dispatch question is not a question of how the information is gathered or disseminated. It is logical to say that the information should be documented in some way.

Deputy Chief Farnsworth stated that is very true. He is open to hearing from anyone who has a concern with the St. George Dispatch Center; they would be open to feedback.

Councilmember Hughes asked if the St. George Dispatch Center were to handle all of the calls, can Gold Cross still schedule ambulances for transport.

Deputy Chief Farnsworth replied yes. With regard to having resources available at all times, what Gold Cross says may be true; however, the St. George Dispatch Center does not always know that if they are not informed. Being in the dark can causes concern.

Councilmember Arial commented that the responsibility of the City Council is to the citizens of St. George. She believes dispatch should be done in St. George. Items in the ordinance are not unreasonable.

Mayor Pike stated that he believes the public would expect that the St. George Dispatch Center knows what is going on.

Mr. Urquhart stated that they agree that a common dispatch center can be a good thing, but how do you legally go about that -BEMS says to do that by agreement. Each party has responsibilities. All parties have to follow what is legal, it has to be done by agreement which has mutual responsibilities. He suggests the ordinance state that there shall be an agreement to address certain items. He is not at all opposed to having one dispatch center, he is in favor of a common dispatch center as the St. George Dispatch Center is very good. Gold Cross operates throughout the state and no other city has an ordinance requiring them to do certain things such as using the municipality's dispatch. He explained that in some cities the municipality is their competitor. Every single point raised tonight is valid. The second a city passes an ordinance requiring them to use that they have to use their dispatch center, every other city can pass an ordinance requiring them to do the same.

City Attorney Shawn Guzman advised that the ordinance can state that there shall be an agreement to address certain issues. The agreement can have a time frame. If an agreement was to be done, it would be the same length of time that the provider would have the license for St. George. Additionally, it would have to be approved by the City Council.

Mr. Moffitt commented that he would imagine that every issue of concern be addressed. They have never had a problem with agreements with other municipalities. If new technology pops up, an addendum can be adopted. Agreements are much easier to amend than an ordinance.

Mayor Pike asked if it would be reasonable and make sense that an ordinance and agreement return to the City Council within the next 30 days.

City Attorney Shawn Guzman advised that he can have the documents ready within 30 days.

Councilmember Arial commented that she was hoping for some resolution tonight as this item has been discussed several times.

Mr. Moffitt replied that 30 days is reasonable with them.

Councilmember Hughes reiterated Councilmember Arial's comment.

City Attorney Shawn Guzman advised that they may want to have both the ordinance and agreement done at the same time.

Mayor Pike noted that there are changes that need to be made on the ordinance.

City Manager Gary Esplin stated that it may be difficult to draft a new ordinance if staff does not know what the City Council's intent is. He does not think it should take a long time to draft an agreement. This item can be brought back to the next work meeting, which can be made at a regular meeting so that action can be taken. Staff will work with Gold Cross and incorporate ideas given by DRMC reps to draft the agreement.

Mr. Urquhart asked the Council to give them two weeks to work on the agreement.

City Attorney Shawn Guzman advised he has a proposed agreement that was provided by Gold Cross. He would like to make some changes to it.

Mr. Stone stated that the problem he has is that he only saw the ordinance this week. It is important for them to do their due diligence.

City Attorney Shawn Guzman noted that he made minor changes to the draft ordinance that was distributed earlier in the week. He clarified the items that will be changed in the current draft ordinance. They are as follows:

1. Section 3-2F-4, the language will be changed to mirror the language on policies, procedures and protocols.
2. Section 3-2F-6, he will add language to specify that the Fire Department will perform the inspection for the equipment as well as adding notification provisions.

Councilmember Almquist asked City Attorney Shawn Guzman to also clarify section 3-2F-4(C) to clarify who declares emergencies.

City Attorney Shawn Guzman replied that he will do so. He continued with the changes as follows:

3. Section 3-2F-6(C), he will strike most of the paragraph, instead it may read that each provider shall have a written mechanical preventative maintenance program for ambulances to ensure compliance with BEMS and that they will make sure that all ambulances that are in-service are mechanically sound and safe to operate.
4. Section 3-2F-6(D), will be stricken altogether or folded into section 3-2F-6(C).

The consensus of the Councilmembers is to fold section 3-2F-6(D) into section 3-2F-6(C).

City Attorney Shawn Guzman continued with the changes as follows:

5. Section 3-2F-7(B), the word "this document" will be changed to "this article".
6. Section 3-2F-9, he will strike "contract" in item 6.
7. Section 3-2F-8, he will add language that the City forward any complaints or concerns to the Ambulance Services Provider within 24 hours.

City Manager Gary Esplin asked that staff meet with the parties involved and return with both the ordinance and agreement. The major issues are dispatch services and number of ambulances. Possibly, the majority of the Council is okay with the agreement regarding dispatch as long as it ties into the ordinance. Additionally, a concern is that the ordinance contains response times or a specific number of ambulances.

Mayor Pike clarified the intention of the Council is that the discussions be about the ordinance or the dispatch agreement.

Councilmember Hughes commented that it is important to include staff's opinion.

City Attorney Shawn Guzman advised that he will also address Mr. Stone's grievance process.

Councilmember Hughes commented that it is clear that their responsibility to the citizens and to have the ambulance provider be successful. Citizens are the number one priority.

MOTION: A motion was made by Councilmember Hughes to table the item until November 13, 2014.

SECOND: The motion was seconded by Councilmember Almquist.

VOTE: Mayor Pike called for a vote, as follows:

Councilmember Almquist - aye
Councilmember Hughes - aye
Councilmember Randall - aye
Councilmember Bowcutt - aye
Councilmember Arial - nay

The motion carried.

ADJOURN:

MOTION: A motion was made by Councilmember Almquist to adjourn.

SECOND: The motion was seconded by Councilmember Hughes.

VOTE: Mayor Pike called for a vote, as follows:

Councilmember Almquist - aye
Councilmember Hughes - aye
Councilmember Randall - aye
Councilmember Bowcutt - aye
Councilmember Arial - aye

The vote was unanimous and the motion carried.